## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/667.974 HASEGAWA, NORIFUMI Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1755 Patricia L. Hailey All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Patricia L. Hailey. (2) Scott Brairton. Date of Interview: 09 September 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ .Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-3 and 5-30. Identification of prior art discussed: Shen et al.; Miyabayashi et al.; and Velasco et al.. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ⋈ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The differences between the instant claims and the art of record were discussed, as was the possibility of the Examiner's reconsideration of withdrawing the Final Rejection. Upon the filing of a response to said Final Rejection, all pending claims will be reconsidered. . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required